

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 4531 110064 Kazuhiro Asada 09/899,919 07/09/2001 09/25/2002 25944 7590 EXAMINER OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 KIM, RICHARD H ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2822

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
			09/899,919		ASADA, KAZUHIRO	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Richard H Kin	1	2882		
	- The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the co	ver sheet wi	th the correspondence	e address	
مة لمدني	- Panly					
THE N - Exten after - If the - If NO - Failul	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, lation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory 1y period will apply and will ex	mowever, may a minimum of thir pire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered THS from the mailing date of	d timely. this communication. 3).	
tatus						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on				
2a)[]	This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is not	n-final.	tian oo	to the merits is	
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for e under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	or formal ma yle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	. (O the ments is	
	ion of Claims	lination				
4)🖸	Claim(s) $1-5$ is/are pending in the app	ilication.	ideration			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	Withdrawn nom cons	ideration.			
5)						
6)[\]						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	II. Laskian maa	wiromont			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election rec	juli ement.			
	tion Papers	Evaminer				
9)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	≥ Addition:	biected to by	the Examiner.		
10)	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s)	e held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1	85(a).	
	Applicant may not request that any object The proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)☐ ap	proved b)	disapproved by the B	xaminer.	
11)[If approved, corrected drawings are requ	uired in reply to this Offi	ce action.			
42)	The oath or declaration is objected to I	by the Examiner.				
		•				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 Acknowledgment is made of a claim to	for foreign priority und	ter 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	or reversion p				
ć	- un to see of the priority of	locuments have beer	received.			
		locuments have beer	received in	n Application No	·	
	o I coming of the cortified conies (of the priority docume	nts have be	en received in this N	ational Stage	
	application from the Interna	for a list of the certil	ied copies r	not received.		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority ur	ider 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a pro	visional application	
!	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lan ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	auage provisional ap	plication na	S Deen received.		
Attachn						
1 2) [] N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P	TO-948) aper No(s) <u>2,3</u> .	4)	iew Summary (PTO-413) e of Informal Patent Applic :	Paper No(s) sation (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent a	ind Trademark Office	Office Action Summa	irv		Part of Paper No. 4	

Application/Control Number: 09/899,919

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 1. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hermann. 2.

Referring to claim 1, Hermann discloses an optical connector comprising a housing having a cord receiving hole portion in which an optical fiber cord can be inserted and received along an axis of the optical fiber cord (see Fig. 2); and a stopper including a plate-like portion (see Fig. 2, ref. 11) having a positioning slit having a width slightly smaller than a diameter of the optical fiber cord (see Fig. 3, ref. 9); wherein a mounting hole, through which the plate-like portion of the stopper can be inserted into the cord receiving hole portion (see col. 2, lines 40-43) in a direction perpendicular to a direction of insertion of the optical fiber cord (see Fig. 2), is formed in the housing (see Fig. 1, ref. 9), and the housing has a stopper retaining portion for holding the plate-like portion of the stopper in a posture perpendicular to the direction of insertion of the optical fiber cord (see col. 3, lines 11-14; Fig. 4, ref. 16); and wherein when the stopper is inserted into the cord receiving hold portion, each of blade portions, formed by a side edge of the positioning slit and a distal edge of the plate-like portion disposed perpendicular to the side edge, penetrates into a covering portion of the optical fiber in a direction different from a Application/Control Number: 09/899,919
Art Unit: 2882

Page 3

direction toward an axis of the optical fiber cord, while forcing a portion of the covering away (see col. 3, lines 11-21; Fig. 5), thereby positioning the optical fiber cored in a fixed manner in the direction of the axis of the optical fiber cord (see col. 3, lines 21-25, Fig. 2-5). It is the position of the examiner that when the blade portion penetrates into a covering portion of the optical fiber, a portion of the covering would inherently be forced away due to a portion of the covering being detached from the rest of the covering of the optical fiber cable.

Referring to claim 2, Hermann discloses an optical connector in which the stopper comprises a pair of the plate-like portions interconnected by an interconnecting piece portion in parallel relation to each other, so that that stopper has a generally U-shape when view from the side thereof (see Fig. 3); and when the stopper is inserted into the cord receiving hole portion, the pair of plate-like portions position the optical fiber cored in a fixed manner in the direction of the axis of the optical fiber cored (see Fig. 4).

Referring to claim 3, Hermann discloses an optical connector wherein each of the opposed side edges of the positioning slit is tapering toward the inside of the positioning slit (see Fig. 3, ref. 11).

Referring to claim 4, Hermann discloses an optical connector in which the distal end edge of the plate-like portion is tapering in a direction of insertion of the plate-like portion (see Fig. 3, ref. 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/899,919

Art Unit: 2882

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hermann in view of Morgan (US 6,401,585 B1).

Hermann discloses the device previously recited. However, the reference does not disclose the device wherein reverse blades are formed on and project from each of the opposed side edges of the positioning slit toward the inside of the positioning slit, the reverse blades being directed in a direction generally opposite the direction of insertion of the plate-like portion.

Morgan et al. discloses a device wherein reverse blades are formed on and are directed in a direction generally opposite to the direction of insertion of a plate-like portion (see Fig. 2, ref. 12, 4a, 26).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the device where reverse blades are formed on and project from each of the opposed side edges of the positioning slit toward the inside of the positioning slit, the reverse blades being directed in a direction generally opposite the direction of insertion of the plate-like portion since one would be motivated to maximize the ease of operating the device. Through such a modification, the blade would cut more thoroughly due to the larger number of individual blades used to cut the optical fiber covering. By having a multiple of individual blades, one insertion stroke of the stopper through the optical fiber would produce multiple blade passes through the cut, thereby producing a more thorough cut. As a result the stopper would be more effective to cut into materials of disparate hardness, as disclosed by Morgan (col. 1, lines 25-29).

Application/Control Number: 09/899,919

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard H Kim whose telephone number is (703)305-4791. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H Kim can be reached on (703)305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Richard H Kim Examiner Art Unit 2882

RHK September 20, 2002 SUPERVISORY PATERT FROM BUSH TECHNOLOGY CHARLES (1992)